



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/234,253	01/20/1999	RAYMOND SELTZER	A-21835/P2/C	6356

324 7590 12/04/2001

CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION
PATENT DEPARTMENT
540 WHITE PLAINS RD
P O BOX 2005
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-9005

EXAMINER

ALVO, MARC S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1731

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2001

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/234,253

Applicant(s)

SELTZER et al

Examiner

Alvo

Art Unit

1731



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 21, 2001

2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 35-40, 44, and 45 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 35-40, 44, and 45 is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.

12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:

1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____

20) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1731

This election of species requirement was made Final in Paper No. 9. Applicant elected within the method claims (1-43) the following species: N,N-diethylhydroxylamine or its salt (see Office Action mailed 8-1-2000) as the hydroxylamine and 3-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-sec-butylbenzene sulfonic acid or its sodium salt (CIBAFST®W) as the stabilizer. Withdrawn claims 12-34 contain non-elected species.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 35-40, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SELTZER et al in view of RODGERS et al.

SELTZER et al teaches adding mixtures of UV absorbers and light stabilizing agents, including N,N-diethylhydroxylamine (column 11, line 35 and column 12, line 66), to polymer coating compositions including polyurethane (column 8, line 12) and polyesters (column 8, line 30). RODGERS et al teaches polyurethane and polyester coating compositions containing UV absorbers, including benzotriazoles (column 5, line 28 and Example 1), can be used to coat paper and textiles to prevent fading of dyes (column 1, lines 35-40 and abstract). It would have been obvious to the routineer that the polyesters and polyurethanes of SELTZER et al which contain UV absorbers can be used to coat paper and textiles to prevent fading of dyes, e.g. reducing the loss of brightness, in the manner taught by RODGERS et al. SELTZER et al teaches that

Art Unit: 1731

benzotriazoles are of particular value as the UV absorber. RODGERS et al teaches that 3-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-sec-butylbenzene sulfonic acid or its sodium salt (CIBAFST®W) can be used as the benzotriazol UV absorber (Example 21, see Table, column 13). It would have been prima facie obvious to use the 3-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-sec-butylbenzene sulfonic acid or its sodium salt (CIBAFST®W) as the benzotriazol of SELTZER et al as they perform the same function of UV absorption. The claimed N,N-diethylhydroxylammonium citrate would be a salt of and an obvious variant of the genus N,N,-hydroxylamine. It would have been obvious to combine the teachings of SELTZER with that of RODGERS to obtain the benefits of both preventing fading and increasing brightness. Besides, the claims drawn to a composition and not to the use of the composition. As set forth above, It would have been obvious to the routineer that the polyesters and polyurethanes of SELTZER et al which contain UV absorbers can be used to coat paper and textiles to prevent fading of dyes in the manner taught by RODGERS et al.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not convincing. SELTZER teaches using both light absorbers and light stabilizing agents. It would have been obvious to use the UV absorber of RODGERS for the UV absorber of SELTZER. RODGERS et al teaches that 3-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-sec-butylbenzene sulfonic acid or its sodium salt (CIBAFST®W) can be used as the benzotriazol UV absorber (Example 21, see Table, column 13). It would have been prima facie obvious to use the 3-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-sec-butylbenzene sulfonic acid or its sodium salt (CIBAFST®W) as the benzotriazol of SELTZER et al as they perform the same function of UV absorption.

Art Unit: 1731

Claim 45 has not been rejected over the art as it would not have been obvious to use the specific 3-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-sec-butylbenzene sulfonic acid or its sodium salt with the citrate salt of tris(N,N-diethylhydroxylammonium) of SELTZER.

Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term “(CIBAFast® W)” is superfluous and should be deleted from the claim.

The use of the trademark “(CIBAFast® W)”. The trademark “(CIBAFast® W)” is indefinite as the trademark may change over time and thus the scope of the claim is indefinite.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1731

When filing an **"Official" FAX** in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) **"Official"** for papers that are to be entered into the file. The **"Official" FAX** phone numbers for this TC 1700 are:

Non-Final Fax: (703) 872-9310 After-Final FAX: (703) 872-9311

When filing an **"Unofficial" FAX** in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) **"Unofficial"** for Draft Documents and other Communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The **"Unofficial" FAX** phone number for this Art Unit (1731) is **(703) 305-7115**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the **primary examiner** should be directed to **Steve Alvo** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-2048**. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from **6:00 AM - 2:30 PM (EST)**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 703-308-3837.


Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Group receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-0661**.

The **Customer Services Center for Technology Center 1700 (703-306-5665)** shall provide the following service assistance to external and internal customers in the areas listed below.

Services provided:

- Patent Application Filing Receipts
- Missing References
- Information regarding When Action can be Expected
- Lost/Misplaced/Requested Application retrieval
- Retrieval from PTO Publication Branch
- Retrieval from non-Publication Branch
- Status Queries (written or oral)
- Paper Matching Queries
- Certificates of Correction.
- Printer Waiting

The Customer Service Office, TC 1700, is located in CP3-8-D13, and is open to receive requests for service in person, by phone **(703) 306-5665**, or E-mail "Customer Service 1700" from 8:30 am-5:00 pm each business day. The Customer Services Center is part of the Special Programs Office of TC 1700 and will be staffed by the Technical Information Specialists who will serve as Customer Service Representatives (CSR): Carolyn E. Johnson, Marshall Gaddis, Bessie Bowie, Lucy Jones.


STEVE ALVO
PRIMARY EXAMINER